App F IX 4

Previous References
MC 77-40-1, 2

1944 Municipal

File No 79-43
Serial Nos 3, 4, 10, 11

Later References

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos 4

Part B for February '44

SUBJECT

Enhanced rates claimed in respect of B.S Slabs in the drains Construction Works in Mudigere town

---

1) The Director of P.H.
2) " Comptroller & Govt
3) " Chief Engr
4) " Dep't Commr Kadur

Lr. No. 79-43 dated 29.2.44

Sanctioning the proposal

2/6

C.F No 45]

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Tele gram / phone

No C.4 86-80/40-41 — 79-43-3

Encls Copy

OFFICE OF

THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC HEALTH

MYSORE

BANGALORE

Date 6th November 1943

SECY LAW DEPT * GOVT OF MYSORE *

GEN & REV SECRET 2546/L 9 NOV 1943 L S G BRANCH

To The Secretary to Government,

Law Department,

Bangalore.

Sir,

Enhancement of the accepted rate of one anna per sq ft of burnt stone covering slabs of 6 to 8" thick to Re 1 per sq ft in the work of constructing drains in Mulbagal Town

(A) (S) Adverting to Government Order No L 1526-9/Mul 77-43-3 dated 20-8-40 sanctioning an estimate for Rs 17,630/- and to Government Order No L.3738-41/Mul 77-43-3 dated 15-11-40 ordering that the balance of works were to be taken up by the Department, I have the honor to state that tenders were called for the work and the quotation of one Mr Ibrahim Sait for Rs 11,620/- being the lowest was accepted by this office

The Sanitary Engineer reports in his letter No 491 dated 18-10-43 that the Contractor has now represented that in the tender put in by him for Re One per sq ft for burnt stone covering slabs of 6" to 8" thick, he had attributed one anna and that he has actually paid Rs 1-4-0 to Rs 1-6-0 per sq ft He recommends the grant of a rate of Re 1/- per sq ft which is cheaper comparatively when the prevalent rate for the work in Mulbagal is Rs 1-4-0 per sq ft

The extra cost will be Rs 370/- which can be met from the General savings of the estimate in the sanctioned estimate provision

In order to expedite the work it is requested that orders may be issued for the information of Government

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Director of Public Health

Ref: Sanitary Engineer's No 491 dated 18th October 1943 to the Sanitary Engineer, Kolar, to the Board of Public Health in Mysore, Bangalore

Re Construction of drains in Mulbagal Town

I have the honour to state that Government in their order No L 1526-9, [illegible] Rs 17,630 [illegible] Sanction

846

2

[illegible] Rs 2,000/- [illegible] to the [illegible]

[illegible] Rs 11,000/- [illegible]

[illegible] Rs 1-2 [illegible] Rs 0-1-0 [illegible] Rs 1-4-0 [illegible] Rs 1/- [illegible] Rs 1-4-0 [illegible] Rs 1/- [illegible] Rs 370/- [illegible]

[illegible] Rs 0-1-0 [illegible] Rs 1/- [illegible] Rs 0-1-0 [illegible] Rs 0-10-0 [illegible]

---

1) subject with a diff-go for orders
Mr 13/11/43

2) The tender of the contractor for the damage work in Mundgere that was accepted by the Dy C of P in March 1942 is more than one year & 8 months old. It is now reported that the contractor has represented that by a mistake, he quoted a rate of one anna per square foot of burnt stone slabs of 6" to 8" thickness & that being the given a rate of one rupee per square foot against the tender rate quoted by him. This is considered to be cheaper than the usual rate at Mundgere

The extra cost, if this proposal is sanctioned, would amount to £2 of which is proposed to be met out of the general savings on the Estimate.

It is rather strange that the Contractor found out the mistake after such a lapse of time and that the Department did not also find out the mistake earlier.

The Supdg Engineer has, by his knowledge and experience, testified to the fact that the increased rate proposed to be given to the Contractor is reasonable and it might be allowed.

The D of P & H has recommended sanction to the extra grant of the increased rate if it may please be approved.

For orders

Lawley for
17/11/43

SECY LAW DEPT 453 '43 NAGPUR

3) What was the rate quoted by other tenderers? What was the total estimated amount of each tender? How would have the accepted tenders of the alleged mistake been considered had it been communicated?

Lawley for
16 11 43

TYPING SECTION 23 NOV 1943 GEN & R V SECTT

4) Draft letter is submitted
Mra 19/11
JTPK
22/11

5) Approved
22/11/43

construction } drains in Mangere

Continuation Sheet 4 File No 2846 of 1 Page
Current No 2

To,
The D P H
Ltr 5659 of 30-11-43
Calls for certain information Secs A & 6/12
JAM

From the D P H
No. C 486-88/40-41 of 4-12-43.
Asks the information called for
by him in his previous letter of 8-11-43, B (reverse)
The D P H recommends for the reasons
stated that the contractor of the
Mangere drainage work mentioned in
his ltr of 20-8-40 may be given × for C & A
one ~~supplied~~ noted by me extra per sq foot
for burnt stone facing slabs used
in the drainage work, as he (the contractor)
has quoted the rate by an extra by
mistake

The information was called for on the
following points:-
1) What was the rate quoted by
the ~~two~~ other tenderers?
2) What was the total amount
of each tender? acceptance
3) How would have the ~~of~~ of the
tenders affected, if the alleged mistake
had not been committed
The D P H ~~has~~ furnished by reply

Mudigere damages 2846

Continuation Sheet 711 File No / Current No 79 of 413 Page 3 5151 2

P W D

to the above pleas, says that if the alleged mistake by the tenderer had not been committed, there would have been no change in the acceptance of tender, since the sanction to the enhanced rate involved only Rs 270/-

9 On the above circumstances, the recommendation of the D P H to pay the enhanced rate for the item from one annna to one rupee is submitted for orders with reference to para 3 supra

Mysore 17/12/44

10 For orders with reference to para 3 supra

17/12/44

Lawley

Sri Lawley 17/12/44

This is a case of a hard bargain. The other considerations have induced us once before to allow an enhancement reasonably

Mysore 2-9

16-2-44

22-2-44

23-2-44

Subject to with a deft go

Mysore 24/2

24/12/44

PWD DEPT 17/12/44 MYSORE

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER FOR L S G & P H Date 18 2 44 No 5510

Continuation Sheet 6 File No / Current No of 5756 2 Page

To,
the D D It
the comptroller
the chief engineer
the D-E D Kansas
2 9039-42 9-29-42 Bma
Sanctions the proposal 10/8

Appendix F G —1]

SUBJECT

Section ML
File No 79-43
Serial No 4

Diary No of letter replied to

No L5659

To

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *194*

Date of issue 30/4

Signed by

Sir the D P H

Sir,

With reference to
your letter No C 4 86-80/40
dy 8-11-43 re the ~~acceptance~~
enhancement of the tenderal
of burnt stone covering ~~slabs~~
slabs on the ~~work~~ construction
of the Johanna Municipal Town,
I am directed to request
you to furnish information
on the following points -
1 What was the rate
quoted by other tenderers
for this item of work?
2 What was the total

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

WD 04161—GPB—60 000—24 10 41

c)
amount of each tender
and 3) How would have the acceptance
of the tenders been affected if the alleged
mistake had not been committed?

I have the
honor
2/11/43
to be, very, Your obdt

Servt

ml 79-43-10

No C 486-88/4041 M
Enc

OFFICE OF THE
DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC HEALTH
IN MYSORE

Bangalore, dated 11th February 1944

To Mr Nevill

The Secretary to Government,
Law Department, Bangalore





Enhanced rates claimed in respect of 3 5 Slabs in the work of constructin drains in Ludigere Town

With reference to your letter No L 5659/ 1 79-43-4 dated 30th November 1943 on the above subject, I have the honour to state as follows

1 Three contractors had quoted for this ork viz ,

1 Messrs Gannon Dunkerley Co ,
2 Mr P Osman Beri,
3 Mr Ebrahim Beri

Mr Ebrahim Beri's tender was accepted The rate quoted by the other contractors for this item of work is Re 1/- per cft

2 The total amount of the several tenders are as follows -

1	Messrs Gannon Dunkerley & Co ,	Rs 16,865-10-0
2	Mr Osman Beri,	Rs 15,068-12-0
3	Mr Ebrahim Beri (the accepted contractor)	Rs 11,629- 1-0

3 If the alleged mistake by the tenderer had not been committed there would have been no change in the acceptance of the tender since the sanction to the enhanced rate involved only Rs 270/-

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Director of Public Health

BNJ

Appendix F G —1]

SUBJECT

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *194*

Diary No of letter replied to

Section

File No

Serial No

Date of issue

Signed by

List of enclosures

Codied by

Examined by

Proceedings of the govt of H H the Maharaja of Mysore
Read —
1 go No L 152 6-5/Rel 77-40-2 dy 20-8-40 sanctioning among others, an estimate for Rs 17,530/- for the drainage scheme at Nanjangud
2 go No L 3738-41/Rel 77-40-5 dy 15-11-40 approving the remaining portion of the drainage scheme referred to in the 1st read above being got executed by the Director of Sanitary Engineering
3 correspondence ending with Letter No C 486-88/40-41 dy 11-2-44 from the D.P.H. to Mysore stating the tender for Rs 11,629/- from one Mr

WD 04161—GPB—60 000—24 10 41

11

Ibrahim Sen was accepted for the execution of the
referred to in the second of the 50 read above and
that the said contractor, has by mistake, to his loss
quoted one anna ~~for~~ ~~undersigned~~ per sq foot
of hand-stone covering slabs of 6" to 8" thick
instead for one rupee per sq foot for the said item of work
in the circumstances stated, sanction to increase
the rate from one anna to Re one per sq foot
for the above said item of Work, the extra
cost due to the above mistake of the Surveyor
in the estimate referred to in the second
above was

order No 2 9039-42 of
the proposal of the D P H is sanctioned

for
Secy, Law

To,

The D P H in Triplicate ✓
The Comptroller ✓
The Chief Engineer ✓
The D C Kanara Dist ✓

Pages 1—11